11/02/23 • COVID › VIEWS

Officials With Ties to Wuhan Institute Still Conspiring to Discredit Theory That COVID May Have Leaked From Lab

In his latest report for The Disinformation Chronicle, investigative journalist Paul D. Thacker presents a timeline of the "conspiracy to deny" the theory that COVID-19 may have resulted from a lab leak.

By Michael Nevradakis, Ph.D.

Miss a day, miss a lot. *Subscribe to The Defender's Top News of the Day.* ***It's free.***

He's previously helped reveal "Twitter Files" documents, shedding light on the inner workings of the "censorship-industrial complex."

Now, in his latest report for The Disinformation Chronicle, investigative journalist Paul D. Thacker presents a timeline of the "conspiracy to deny" the theory that COVID-19 may have resulted from a lab leak.

According to Thacker, four years after the virus appeared, this "conspiracy to deny" the lab-leak theory continues.

In one recent example, Peter Daszak, Ph.D., president of the EcoHealth Alliance, who conducted gain-of-function research alongside scientists at the Wuhan Institute of Virology in China, said at a conference that the pandemic started through zoonosis — spillover of the virus from an animal to humans.

"We know this is misleading and dishonest, and that much of the evidence points to a lab accident by Daszak's colleagues at the Wuhan Institute of Virology," Thacker wrote.

This evidence, Thacker said, was known to scientists soon after COVID-19 appeared.

"Virologists knew within a month after the pandemic began in 2019 that the COVID virus appeared genetically manipulated and that it may have leaked from a lab in Wuhan, China — a lab that was funded by Anthony Fauci at the NIH [National Institutes of Health]," he wrote.

Yet, Thacker said, "Instead of explaining this to the public, these scientists launched a misinformation campaign with complicit science writers to label critics 'conspiracy theorists' and misdirect attention away from their research colleagues and funders as the possible cause of so many deaths and unimaginable economic catastrophe."

As part of this misinformation campaign, Thacker wrote, the same scientists, along with complicit figures in government and the media, labeled any speech that questioned the official COVID-19 narrative as "misinformation."

Thacker said government agencies continue to perpetuate a cover-up of the lab-leak theory. "The NIH has hidden what it knows and when it knew it, and is ignoring Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests."

The FOIA requests came from several organizations that are now suing the NIH "to force compliance with FOIA so they can gain access to public documents." Yet even when the NIH responds, "They still hide information by heavily redacting documents," according to Thacker.

In one instance, "The Intercept sued the NIH for documents on the pandemic's origin and received 92 pages that were fully redacted," Thacker wrote.

Nevertheless, Thacker said, "Multiple lawsuits against the NIH continue to move forward and uncover more and more evidence of what the government knew and when NIH officials knew it."

Thacker, who was recently interviewed by producer Vanessa Dylyn for an upcoming documentary about the damage the pandemic caused to society, said he received "6 pages of questions" concerning the possible lab accident at Wuhan — which he decided to turn into an article.

"So much has happened since December 31, 2019, when the World Health Organization was notified of a new pneumonia outbreak in Wuhan, China," Thacker wrote. "Instead of going over how this all unfolded over four years, I decided to lay out what happened, based on what we now know. It's been a long, tough journey."

RFK Jr. and Brian Hooker's *New* Book: "Vax-Unvax"



Government officials, scientists 'have been hiding information from the public'

According to Thacker, much of what we learned about the Wuhan cover-up leaked out over time, because Fauci and others in the government have been hiding information from the public and virologists such as Scripps Research's Kristian Andersen have been "lying to a complicit media."

"We only learned last July, for example, that Andersen didn't believe the conclusions from the 'Proximal Origins' paper he published in Nature Medicine that denigrated the possibility of a Wuhan lab accident," Thacker wrote.

"The proximal origin of SARS-CoV-2" was published in March 2020 and became "one of the single most impactful and influential scientific papers in history."

A report by the U.S. House of Representatives Select Subcommittee on the Coronavirus Pandemic released in July found that Fauci and other key scientists and government officials used the paper as a means to suppress the COVID-19 lab-leak theory.

Such efforts at a cover-up began almost immediately after the pandemic's outbreak, even as scientists privately admitted that a lab leak was highly likely to have occurred.

"A month after the pandemic's outbreak in Wuhan, China, on New Year's Eve 2019, a press officer at the National Institutes of Health emailed pandemic talking points to Anthony Fauci, who was leading the NIH's National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID)," Thacker wrote.

"The press officer noted that Fauci's NIAID funded many of the world's coronavirus experts, including Peter Daszak of the nonprofit EcoHealth Alliance, Ralph Baric at the University of North Carolina, and Ian Lipkin of Columbia University," he added.

The Jan. 27, 2020, email noted that Fauci was funding coronavirus research conducted by Daszak and the EcoHealth Alliance in China and had been doing so "for the past five years" and that the two were "among the biggest players in coronavirus work."

At around the same time, "Fauci had also begun a series of calls and emails with various virologists," including Andersen, who told Fauci in an email that "some of the features (potentially) look engineered," referring to the COVID-19 virus, adding that the virus showed characteristics not consistent with "expectations with evolutionary theory."

On Feb. 1, 2020, a conference call followed, organized by Jeremy Farrar, Ph.D., then-director of the Wellcome Trust, described by Thacker as "one of the world's largest funders of virology research." Fauci, Andersen and other prominent virologists participated in the call, which Farrar asked "everyone to treat in total confidence."

Later that day, in an email to government scientists, including Dr. Francis Collins, then-director of the NIH, Fauci said, "The call with Jeremy Farrar (Wellcome Trust) went very well." Yet, Fauci acknowledged the possibility that COVID-19 was engineered.

"They were concerned about the fact that upon viewing the sequences of several isolates of the nCoV, there were mutations in the virus that would be most unusual to have evolved naturally in the bats and that there was suspicion that this mutation was intentionally inserted," Fauci wrote.

In the email, Fauci made another key acknowledgment: "Scientists in Wuhan University are known to have been working on gain-of-function experiments to determine the molecular mechanisms associated with bat viruses adapting to human infection, and the outbreak originated in Wuhan."

"These emails show that Fauci and many of the world's top virologists knew by February 1, 2020, that Fauci was funding EcoHealth Alliance to do coronavirus research in China and that the COVID virus did not seem natural," Thacker wrote.

"But instead of alerting the public, emails show that Fauci, Farrar, and multiple virologists began plotting to deny these same suspicions by orchestrating the publication of three scientific papers to label the possibility of a lab accident a 'conspiracy theory,'" he added.

"The Wuhan Cover-Up"
by Robert F. Kennedy Jr.

ORDER NOW

Scientists published papers refuting lab-leak theory but privately acknowledged it

According to Thacker, three scientific papers played a key role in perpetuating the zoonotic theory of COVID-19's origins — and in labeling opposing narratives as "conspiracy theories."

One of the earliest attempts to refute the lab-leak theory was the publication of a statement in The Lancet on Feb. 19, 2020, by Farrar and Daszak, characterizing assertions regarding a possible lab accident at Wuhan as a "conspiracy theory."

Thacker noted that several of the 27 scientists — including Daszak — who signed the statement omitted reporting their ties to EcoHealth Alliance, which funded research at the Wuhan lab. It was later revealed that 26 of the 27 signatories of the statement had ties to the Wuhan Institute of Virology.

Leading up to the publication of the statement, Daszak was working on getting researchers to come on board as co-signatories. However, in an email he sent to some other virologists on Feb. 6, he recommended they not sign the statement "so it has some distance from us and therefore doesn't work in a counterproductive way."

In a separate email to Baric, Daszak wrote "We'll then put it out in a way that doesn't link it back to our collaboration so we maximize an independent voice."

A week later, a Feb. 26, 2020, commentary in Emerging Microbes & Infections repeated claims that lab-leak theory was a conspiracy theory.

The paper was written by virologists — including Linda Saif, Ph.D., of Ohio State University — working behind the scenes with Baric and Shi Zhengli, who conducted research at the Wuhan Institute of Virology.

"Currently, there are speculations, rumours and conspiracy theories that SARS-CoV-2 is of laboratory origin," the commentary stated.

Two weeks prior, on Feb. 12, 2020, "One of the authors forwarded an email from Ralph Baric that included his comments and changes to the essay's text, although Baric wrote that he wanted to hide his involvement," Thacker wrote.

"Don't want to be cited in as having commented before submission," Baric wrote.

Yet, on Feb. 16, the commentary's authors exchanged private concerns that some samples of viruses from the Wuhan Institute of Virology "may not have been handled properly and leaked out of the lab … but that's just a possibility."

The acknowledgment came even as the authors were exchanging comments with Zhengli about the paper.

However, "The essay did not disclose the authors' private concerns that the COVID virus could have come from the lab, nor that Ralph Baric and Shi Zhengli had secretly helped to edit and write the paper," Thacker wrote. "The essay was downloaded 75,000 times — the third-most downloaded article of 2020 for publisher Taylor and Francis.

The most influential paper of all was published on March 17, 2020. Sometimes referred to as "Proximal Origins," the paper, authored by Andersen and two other virologists concluded that a lab leak was not "plausible." A month earlier, Fauci and Collins had reviewed drafts of the paper.

On Feb. 17, Farrar emailed the virologists working on the paper to ask them to make a last-minute change to the draft, from "It is unlikely" to "It is improbable that SARS-CoV-2 emerged through laboratory manipulation of an existing SARS-related coronavirus." Separately, Farrar said he would "push Nature" to accept the paper.

And on March 8, Andersen emailed Fauci and Collins a new draft of "Proximal Origins," thanking them for "their 'advice and leadership' on the paper," Thacker wrote, adding that Fauci and Collins were invited "to comment and offer suggestions."

"The paper made no mention of Jeremy Farrar's edits to the manuscript, nor of the "advice and leadership" Fauci and Collins provided. The "Proximal Origins" paper became the most cited scientific manuscript of 2020. Several days later, Fauci's institute approved a multi-million dollar grant for Andersen," Thacker added.

A promotional campaign for "Proximal Origins" followed, including in a March 26 post by Collins for the NIH Director's Blog, where he wrote: "Some folks are even making outrageous claims that the new coronavirus causing the pandemic was engineered in a lab" and that "A new study debunks such claims by providing scientific evidence that this novel coronavirus arose naturally."

And during an April 17, 2020, White House Coronavirus Task Force press briefing, Fauci told reporters "There was a study recently that we can make available to you" which showed that COVID-19 "is totally consistent with a jump of a species from an animal to a human."

"Published in scientific journals, these three papers silenced debate about the origin of the COVID virus, as science writers then began reporting that any question of a Wuhan lab accident was a 'conspiracy theory,'" Thacker wrote.

Fauci and other key virologists also "began campaigning inside the intelligence community to shut down any inquiry into a possible Chinese lab accident, later admitting they had briefed officials from the State Department, FBI and the CIA," Thacker wrote.

"Virologists and government scientists then widely promoted these three papers in the media during the initial months of the pandemic, silencing debate about NIH funding in Wuhan, where a lab accident could have started the COVID outbreak," he said.

Fauci called questions about COVID origin 'conspiracy theories' and 'attacks'

The media quickly jumped on board, according to Thacker. "Science reporters began trumpeting the line that a lab accident in Wuhan was a 'conspiracy theory' and social media companies began to censor reports about a Chinese lab accident," he wrote.

Indeed, Fauci took to the media to describe questioning about the lab-leak theory as conspiracy theories and "attacks."

In a Feb. 9, 2020, podcast, Fauci told former Speaker of the House Newt Gingrich "I've heard these conspiracy theories. And like all conspiracy theories, Newt, they're just conspiracy theories," adding that "the things you're hearing are still in the realm of conspiracy theories without any scientific basis."

Separately, Fauci told NBC, "A lot of what you're seeing as attacks on me, quite frankly, are attacks on science. Because all of the things that I have spoken about, consistently from the very beginning, have been fundamentally based on science."

Thacker cited several examples of what he called "complicit media," including a Time magazine profile of Zhengli, a Scientific American essay calling claims of a lab leak "evidence free," and a Nature Magazine article calling the lab-leak theory "conjecture."

One New York Times reporter, Apoorva Mandavilli, tweeted in May 2021: "Someday we will stop talking about the lab leak theory and maybe even admit its racist roots. But alas, that day is not yet here."

According to Thacker, when a September 2021 preprint study argued that viruses most closely related to COVID-19 were identified in Laos, "science writers at Nature, Science Magazine, and the New York Times science desk hopped all over findings to promote a message that COVID-19 likely arose from a virus in Laos."

This reporting was undermined by internal government documents that showed researchers had been "shipping viruses, for many years, from Laos to the Wuhan Institute of Virology for research" and by evidence provided in a study by the Wuhan lab itself that they had been collecting such viruses from Laos and China since 2006.

More recently, this evidence was further bolstered following the revelation that, under Fauci's leadership, an NIH-run lab in Montana infected 12 Egyptian fruit bats with a 'SARS-like' virus called WIV1, imported from Wuhan, in 2018 — a year before the outbreak of COVID-19.

Out of all these outlets, "only the Washington Post later recanted and corrected false reporting that it was a 'conspiracy theory' to claim that the COVID-19 virus may have escaped from a laboratory in Wuhan, China," Thacker wrote.

Even as more evidence about a potential lab leak emerged, crackdowns on such narratives continued — and social media platforms also got into the act.

Indeed, such censorship began early during the pandemic. Thacker cited the example of ZeroHedge, who on Feb. 1, 2020, published an article stating that a Chinese scientist may have been responsible for the COVID-19 lab leak.

In response, Twitter banned ZeroHedge's account, while emails have revealed that Fauci and Farrar discussed the ZeroHedge story after it was published. ZeroHedge's account was later reinstated, following an appeal.

The views and opinions expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of Children's Health Defense.

SUGGEST A CORRECTION

Michael Nevradakis, Ph.D.
Michael Nevradakis, Ph.D., based in Athens, Greece, is a senior reporter for The Defender and part of the rotation of hosts for CHD.TV's "Good Morning CHD."

Sign up for free news and updates from Children's Health Defense. CHD focuses on legal strategies to defend the health of our children and obtain justice for those injured. We can't do it without your support

Republishing Guidelines

Children's Health Defense Comment Policy

We welcome relevant and respectful comments. Off-topic comments may be removed.

Please read our Comment Policy before commenting.

Got it

25 Comments 1 Login ▼

G Join the discussion…

LOG IN WITH OR SIGN UP WITH DISQUS ?

Name

11 Share Best Newest Oldest

C **Cesul**

14 days ago

I am pretty well convinced that SarsC2 was never isolated and therefore could never have been sequenced and therefore could never have been used for either PCR or any other testing or creating a vaccine. BUT even if SarsC2 did exist excess deaths in 2020 didn't happen and therefore there was never any real pandemic and the purported source of SarsC2 is irrelevant and is a distraction from the real crime of creating a deadly injection using technologies that had been failures. As it turns out the injection, per Pfizer documents for the testing phase, didn't stop infection, transmission, or easing of symptoms or death. It appears a main goal of this whole attack was to make insane amounts of money, terrify and do medical and psychological experimentation on the world population, destroy the world economy and to bring in the New World Order. Try as they might creating biological weapons has never really been very effective at killing large numbers of people. But with the proper terror story and enough lies it has worked well to stampede people into what the "masters of mankind" desire. We need to stop focusing on their terror campaign and stop the NWO/WEF solutions of lockdowns, masking, and injections.

14 0 Reply • Share ›

Critical Thinker ➦ Cesul

14 days ago

When attempting to verify the nonexistent virus theory, I found a scientific paper saying that SARS-CoV-2 was isolated from autopsy tissue at a Scandinavian lab — not simply cultured from suspect specimens. You can choose to believe the paper or not. But it looked legit to me. Interestingly, other labs also isolated it later and found that it was present in samples taken prior to when the alleged lab leak occurred.

1 0 Reply • Share ›

N

Nichole → Critical Thinker

13 days ago edited

In terms of germ theory and isolation of SARSCOV2, there have been scientists recently and in the past who have admitted that it is near impossible to actually isolate something like influenza, and there have been many attempts made over the years to show this. During the Spanish Flu, tests were done to attempt to determine if it was possible to spread the illness to those who were healthy, and it was found to be near impossible during those tests, this work was published and is still available. Different methods were tried to attempt to infect healthy individuals by having infected people cough on them, etc and those tests failed miserably. This is in the public record and anyone can find the documentation of this work by Dr. Milton Rosenau. It was also found much much later that just like with the 1976 swine flu, where it just so happened that it was one solitary soldier who had been supposedly found to have that illness on the fateful morning in February 1976, the same occurred with the outbreak of the Spanish Flu.

This, after Rockefeller scientists had administered experimental vaccines to thousands of soldiers at Fort Riley, Kansas before they were deployed overseas where on March 4, 1918, one soldier also fell ill. Just like during the 1976 Swine Flu scandal, one soldier supposedly becoming ill back in 1918 led the nation and

see more

3 0 Reply • Share ›

Critical Thinker → Nichole

13 days ago edited

Yup — I know about all that. If I can find the paper again, I'll post it. In the meantime, suffice it to say that I get science and can tell when something isn't right. The only way that the paper I found is in error is if it's entirely faked, including the microscopy.

The rest of your post speaks to related issues — most of which I

The rest of your post speaks to related issues — most of which I wouldn't argue with. Perhaps a minor quibble here and there. I think the deaths from COVID were highly exaggerated and that the vax didn't "save" anyone, while causing significant harm.

One thing to consider is that specialists like Mccullough have isolated the spike proteins from the vax in the tissue of patients who died in connection with the vax, so just think about that.

If you believe that the spike protein can be isolated — then just remember that it's just a tiny little segment of the entire virus. So if you think the virus is too difficult to detect to believe it's real, so is the spike protein — in fact it would be impossible.

We tend to choose what we want to believe more than the facts which contradict it.

1 0 Reply • Share ›

G

GarySummers → Nichole

12 days ago

Many Feel Covid, and the Jabs are Bio-Weapons, especially the ones farmed/subbed out to Red China Pharmaceutical Company's to make?

Why it could not be Isolated?

see more

0 0 Reply • Share ›

E_via → Cesul

14 days ago

100%

1 0 Reply • Share ›

Voyt Regnal

14 days ago

Nothing 'leaked' from Wuhan. There was no 'super GOF virus', period. What is possible is that 'infectious clone' has been distributed in different countries. People have been killed by tratment protocols in hospitals..no antibiotics, application of Remdesivir..among other reasons like fake death certificates etc.

9 0 Reply • Share ›

Gary Brown

13 days ago

Let's not forget this rehearsal of the global scam before it actually went live.

May 15, 2021 The Table Top "Event 201" Pandemic Rehearsal and Its Aftermath (that accurately "predicted" the Coronavirus "pandemic" 6 weeks before it happened)

Less than two months prior to Event 201 (October 19, 2019), Bill Gates invested up to 55 million dollars in BioNTech stock – the company that co-developed – with Pfizer – the first experimental (and still unapproved (by the FDA) 2-dose mRNA vaccine.)

https://www.globalresearch....

see more

5 0 Reply • Share ›

GarySummers → Gary Brown

13 days ago edited

13 days ago edited

"Breaking" 'How Many Did Not Have To Die'!
Those that Suppressed Hydroxy, 'Manslaughter', 'Murder'?

Hydroxychloroquine Associated With Lower COVID-19 Mortality: French Study
People who "received hydroxychloroquine were less likely to die than those who did not, according to a new study."

Just 0.8 percent of patients at a facility in France who received hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) and an antibiotic died, compared to 4.8 percent of patients who did not receive the drug combination, French researchers reported on Nov. 1.
https://www.zerohedge.com/c...

1 0 Reply • Share ›

Gary Brown → GarySummers
12 days ago

You know like I do friend.

12/24/2020 Pharmaceutical factory that manufactures hydroxychloroquine mysteriously bursts into flames, explodes

On Dec. 20, an SCI Pharmtech manufacturing facility in Taoyuan City, Taiwan, burst into flames and exploded, leaving two people injured.

https://taiwanenglishnews.c...

1 0 Reply • Share ›

G **GarySummers** → Gary Brown
11 days ago

"BREAKING" & 'Good INFO'!
"Australian Gov't Official Blows Whistle: 'We Planned the Pandemic Decades Ago'"

"NN reports: The so-called "pandemic" was planned and globally co-ordinated, decades in advance, he testified before the Australian Senate".

However, Robert issued a warning to the globalist elite that they will be exposed and held accountable.

"But we are going to hound you down, the people that are guilty," he warned.

"We are going to hound you down and hold you accountable"…
"We will expose your global agenda."
https://thepeoplesvoice.tv/...

1 0 Reply • Share ›

Gary Brown → GarySummers
11 days ago

Thanks! Here it is directly from the source of this global fraud Summers.

Nov 4, 2019 Event 201 Pandemic Exercise: Segment 4, Communications Discussion and Epilogue Video

Event 201 is a pandemic tabletop exercise hosted by The Johns Hopkins Center for Health Security. The exercise illustrated the pandemic preparedness efforts needed to diminish the large-scale economic and societal consequences of a severe pandemic.

http://www.centerforhealths...

see more

0 0 Reply • Share ›

R **Ronald Martono**
14 days ago

Political charade with the purpose of gaslighting and confusing people. No virus escaped anywhere , the whole "disease" was another play of the old scam of common symptoms redefined, non-specific tests as diagnostic tools and prevention and treatment protocols that hurt and kill people.

4 0 Reply • Share ›

Critical Thinker → Ronald Martono
14 days ago edited

I wouldn't be so sure that no virus escaped anywhere. Those labs have a history almost as

bad as nuclear accidents, but we never hear about them. Plus there's enough forensic evidence to make it reasonable to believe that a virus was involved. The real question is to what extent did that involvement play in all the hype that led to the pandemic becoming a scamdemic in concert with the plandemic?

3 0 Reply • Share ›

Pat

13 days ago

They know that the virus didn't "leak" from the lab; it was purposefully allowed out of the lab to the public, just as planned.

3 0 Reply • Share ›

Seabreezes1

13 days ago

"The definition of 'conspiracy theory' is extraordinarily malleable. When verified, a conspiracy theory is recast as investigative journalism' or 'unburied history' and in some cases, a legal slam-dunk." - *Sarah Kendzior*
in "They Knew: How a culture of conspiracy keeps America complacent"

2 0 Reply • Share ›

G **GarySummers** ➦ Seabreezes1

12 days ago

CIA also used the Term, 'conspiracy theory'., to down play real Investigations, of the major Assassinations of the 1960's, and the Vietnam War.

0 0 Reply • Share ›

P **p. gutierrez**

12 days ago edited

Nevradatis analyzing the Thacker article writes,

'According to Thacker, four years after the virus appeared, this "conspiracy to deny" the lab-leak theory continues…..Fauci and other key virologists also "began campaigning inside the intelligence community to shut down any inquiry into a possible Chinese lab accident, later admitting they had briefed officials from the State Department, FBI and the CIA," Thacker wrote.'

Fauci shutdown all inquiries regarding Covid-19 origin not to protect Chinese scientists involved in creating Covid-19, but to coverup his own NIH scientists and Ralph Baric's involvement in helping create Covid-19. In June 2019, Kizzie Corbett and Barney Graham of the NIH was running tests on Baric's chimeric coronaviruses, SCH014, WIV1, and WIV16 by making their own pseudoviruses

Baric's chimeric coronaviruses, SCH014, WIV1, and WIV16 by making their own pseudoviruses.

see more

1 0 Reply • Share ›

P

p. gutierrez p. gutierrez

10 days ago edited

In the June 20, 2019 e-mail above where Corbett at the NIH requests Baric to send bat coronavirus SCH014 plasmids or sequences, it was Baric and Zhengli who in 2015 put SCH015 bat coronavirus spike gene, into a SARS 2003 coronavirus backbone, to create a GOF hybrid pseudovirus.

see more

0 0 Reply • Share ›

P

p. gutierrez p. gutierrez

3 days ago edited

The importance that the Covid-19 furin cleavage site (RRAR) being part of a nuclear localization signal (NLS) should not be overlooked. It is a novel feature

of Covid-19. Juraj Kabat of the Biological Imaging Section, Research Technology Branch of the NIAID and Sattar informs us in the article above, that, 'some' of Covid-19's S protein and S mRNA of gets transported into a cell's nucleus.

from the article, 'Nuclear translocation of spike mRNA and protein is a novel feature of SARS-CoV-2', by Sarah Sattar, Juraj Kabat, Friederike Feldmann and others

The Covid-19 S mRNAs (messageRNA) gets produced during translation and transcription in the cytoplasm part of a cell. The messageRNAs produced in a

see more

0 0 Reply • Share ›

G

GarySummers ➦ p. gutierrez

12 days ago

EXCLUSIVE
"Unraveling The Wuhan Cover-Up: How "Fauci Conspired With Virologists To Deceive The Public" And Smear Critics"

Fauci's NIAID oversaw a large program on biodefense and research on coronaviruses—the type of virus causing the pandemic, and which scientists abbreviate as "CoV." The press officer noted that Fauci's NIAID funded many of the world's coronavirus experts, including Peter Daszak of the nonprofit EcoHealth Alliance, Ralph Baric at the University of North Carolina, and Ian Lipkin of Columbia University.
https://www.zerohedge.com/c...

0 0 Reply • Share ›

S

Stuff Happens

13 days ago

There was no leak from any lab. There was intentional spread of infectious viral clones in strategic locations to sicken people to initiate a pandemic panic. PCR testing kept the panic alive and it's inventor Kary Mullis paid the ultimate price for disagreeing with its use as a diagnostic tool. Currently the lie of ongoing variants keeps covid simmering as a PR tool to justify shots and masks. Neither work as advertised and do more harm than good. This entire charade was meant to

masks. Neither work as advertised and do more harm than good. This entire charade was meant to punish the reluctant into walking in lockstep to a new world order where individual freedom and personal sovereignty is a thing of the past. Covid was the single most evil event to occur in most people's lifetimes. There was nothing natural or random about it.

1 0 Reply • Share ›

G **GarySummers** → Stuff Happens

12 days ago

The Company that plans to 'distribute Remdesivir', 'UNITAID', a therapy for Covid#19 'Gates, Fauci, Soros's, Obama, and Clintons have a Financial Interest'?

One billionaire investor behind UNITAID is 'George Soros' himself . But why stop there? UNITAID is also financed by the 'Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation' and also involves a partnership with the 'Clinton Health Access Initiative' (CHAI). And interestingly enough both UNITAID and Gilead Biosciences 'supported' Hillary Clinton for president in 2016 . https://civilianintelligenc...

0 0 Reply • Share ›

S **Stuff Happens** → GarySummers

12 days ago

Good tie-Ins. Not surprising as there's a lot of money to be made in treating "covid." The avg covid death took 18 days. Meaning most died of secondary illness such as bacterial pneumonia or blood clots which Covid protocols did not resolve. But damaging or useless is no matter as they made a lot of money for investors. Antibiotics are no longer moneymakers so they tell us they're useless against viruses. This is only partially true as they are lifesavers over secondary infections and certain kinds of infectious bacteria actually do behave like viruses in that they must invade a host cell to survive. So, no, sorry, antibiotics are not useless but if PCR came back positive for covid most were denied anything other than expensive "approved" treatments. People on ventilators died because they weren't being treated promptly or at all for bacterial pneumonia.

0 0 Reply • Share ›

Jerry Alatalo

11 days ago edited

People might consider the existence on Earth of an effective Nazi 4th Reich as the original source of COVID-19 (covert biological warfare) and subsequent 4 years of mRNA injection (bioweapons) mass murder rampage/living Hell on Earth. A number of high-powered organizations comprise the Nazi 4th Reich, including the World Economic Forum, co-founded by Klaus Schwab...

See this: https://unlimitedhangout.co...

Also including the World Health Organization, a criminal cabal operating with total immunity from prosecution for commission of any crime, of any lesser-or-greater magnitude whatsoever...

See this: https://onenessofhumanity.w...

Of all political leaders across the Earth elected by their constituents to represent "We, the people" in their respective governmental bodies, British MP Andrew Bridgen appears to be the only one in the world possessing the moral character/courage sufficient for bringing the matter fully into the light of day and available to the British public - and now available for all of humanity...

See this:

see more

0 0 Reply • Share ›

Subscribe Privacy Do Not Sell My Data